

Withdrawal and transfer costs

TRANSFER COSTS

Moving coins costs money and time: on-chain network fees to withdraw to self-custody or another venue, exchange withdrawal charges on top, and settlement delays that are their own risk. These costs are why lesson 2's cross-venue price gaps stay open, why custody moves are not free, and why the fragmented market is not as arbitrage-connected as it looks.

The other markets' costs were all about trading. Crypto adds a cost of moving the asset itself - because the asset lives on a ledger, and changing where it lives costs a network fee and takes real time.

The costs of moving

Network fees: every on-chain transfer pays the blockchain's fee to be processed - variable with network congestion, occasionally large, and unavoidable for a genuine withdrawal to self-custody or another venue. Exchange withdrawal charges: venues add their own fee on top of the network cost - sometimes flat, sometimes padded above the true network cost as a revenue line. Settlement time: transfers take minutes to hours to confirm - during which the coins are in transit, exposed to price moves and to the counterparty risk of both ends; time is a cost here, not just money.

What these costs explain

Three things module 1 and 2 raised. The persistent venue gaps: arbitrage cannot close a price difference smaller than the cost of moving coins to exploit it, so the gaps stay open at exactly the width transfer costs set - the fragmentation is priced by these fees. The custody decision's friction: moving trading float to self-custody and back is not free, so the split from module 1 lesson 5 has a rebalancing cost that argues for deciding it deliberately rather than shuffling constantly. And the on-ramp tax: getting fiat in and coins out crosses banking rails with their own fees and delays, the full round trip into and out of the asset class carrying costs the in-market trader forgets.

The budgeting habit

Transfer costs belong in the plan when the strategy moves coins: cross-venue arbitrage pays them on every leg and must clear them to profit; a custody-rebalancing schedule pays them each move; and an on-ramp-heavy approach pays the banking rails repeatedly. For a trader operating within one reputable venue, they are occasional; for anyone moving coins as part of the strategy, they are a real line - and the one crypto cost that is about the asset's nature as a ledger entry rather than about trading it.

Check your understanding

1. Why do cross-venue price gaps stay open?

- a. Exchanges coordinate prices
- b. Arbitrage cannot close a gap smaller than the cost of moving coins to exploit it - so gaps persist at exactly the width transfer fees set
- c. Regulators cap arbitrage

Answer: b. The fragmentation is priced by these fees. Network costs, withdrawal charges and settlement time are the friction that keeps the venues from fully converging.

2. Why is settlement time a cost, not just an inconvenience?

- a. It delays fee calculation
- b. Coins in transit are exposed to price moves and to both ends' counterparty risk during confirmation - time carries real risk here
- c. Transfers can be reversed

Answer: b. A transfer is not instant, and the in-transit window is genuine exposure. Time is a cost line in a market where moving the asset takes minutes to hours.