The futures risk plan on one page

> **THE FUTURES RISK PLAN**
>
> The one-page document for the asset class with permanent teeth: the budget and the two-number size, the session-honest stop, the headroom floor in days, the nightly and event policies, the board-collapsed heat ledger, and the ladder's thresholds written where they can be seen. The equity plan replaced missing mechanisms; this one stands between the trader and mechanisms that never blink.

Fifth risk plan, same page length, opposite problem to the last one: equities had no teeth, and futures are nothing else. The plan's lines follow.

The futures risk plan

Risk per trade: fixed fraction, in money - unchanged through five asset classes, and the anchor for everything below.Size: the division's two numbers - computed and floored - with the refused fraction recorded and the variant decision (micro or flagship) made by the arithmetic - lessons 1 and 2.Stop: session-separated range, grid-aware placement, in points and in money - lesson 3.The headroom floor: days of ordinary adversity, the second gate, checked at every entry - lesson 4; the plan names the floor and the plausible-flow method.The nightly policy: hold, reduce or flatten - per book, per night, Fridays their own clause - lesson 5.The event policy: flat through the minutes by default, gap-sized holds only at the division's answer - lesson 6.The heat ledger: months collapsed, tethers followed, budgets per cluster - lesson 7.The ladder's numbers, written down: call thresholds, liquidation mechanics, and each family's limit rules from the spec - lesson 8; not because they will be met, but because written thresholds are the difference between machinery and ambush.

What is deliberately absent, fifth telling

No views, no targets, no conviction scale. The plan works identically whether the ideas are brilliant or wrong - the sentence has now survived five asset classes, and the futures version adds only its local corollary: here the machinery grades the plan nightly, in cash, whether or not anyone reads the grade.

The closing frame

The futures plan is the platform's most mechanical because the asset class is: every threshold external, every flow scheduled, every failure mode published in advance. Which yields the track's risk lesson in one line - in a market where everything is arithmetic, all risk failures are arithmetic left undone - and hands module 5 an account that can survive its own analysis being wrong, which is the only kind worth analysing for.

Check your understanding

1. What is the futures plan's own contribution to the platform's risk machinery?

a. The risk budget

b. The headroom floor as a second gate of equal rank: days of ordinary adversity, checked at entry, refusing books the budget alone would pass

c. The stop-loss

Answer: b. Every other line is inherited machinery localised. The two-gate discipline - budget per trade, days per book - is what daily settlement demanded and this module built.

2. Why does the plan write down ladder thresholds it intends never to meet?

a. Brokers require signed acknowledgement

b. Written thresholds are the difference between machinery and ambush: the call, liquidation and limit rules are published arithmetic, and the plan keeps them where the trader can see them

c. They change too often to memorise

Answer: b. The ladder is survivable except by the surprised - lesson 8's close. The plan's job is making surprise impossible, which is one page of numbers copied from public sources.